UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/074,238 | 02/14/2002 | Janne Aaltonen | 006071.00001 | 6235 |
| 22907 BANNER & W | 7590 04/05/201 ITCOFF, LTD. | 0 | EXAMINER | |
| 1100 13th STR | | BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H | | |
| SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2443 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/05/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------|
| N 42 CAL 1 | 10/074,238 | AALTONEN ET | AL. |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | ASGHAR BILGRAMI | 2443 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | ldress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of N and N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on | failing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on |), which is after the | • |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | nendment which pla | aces the |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper rep | ly, to the non- |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | 5). received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Tr | ansmission dated |
| Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | | 055 4 40(1) 1 4 | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1 | · · | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | . |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | t been received. | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37). | • | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated |), which is |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire i | nterest, or all of |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a representation) | entative capacity ui | nder 37 CFR |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for see | eking court review |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | |
| Examiner made a curtsey call to applicant's attorney him a voice mail regarding the status of the applicat has been abandoned. | | | |
| /Tonia LM Dollinger/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2443 | /Asghar Bilgrami/ Examiner, Art Unit 2443 | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | w the holding of abandonment under 37 G | CFR 1.181, should be | promptly filed to |